

With the 2012 [Bilderberg Group](#) meeting fast approaching, the globalist (below insert) backed [main stream media](#) continues to ignore reporting on the leading events of the next Bilderberg Group meeting.

Who are the Globalists and what is a Globalist?

The Globalist agenda is real and the Globalists themselves are the greatest threat to human civilization. Every day, more and more people are affected in some way, shape or form because of the Globalists and the Globalist agenda.

In consideration of the entire Globalist plan, the Globalist ideology and other Globalist propaganda, I think it's time to redefine what a Globalist is.

The most popular definition of what is a Globalist and who are the Globalists so far is "the Globalist movement is an alliance based on self-interests of the private international financiers and the royal, dynastic and hereditary land owning families of Britain, Europe and America which over the years have intermarried to create a self regenerating power structure that through lies and deception seeks to control everything and everyone".

Although this definition of who and what is a Globalist is true, I think the definition doesn't go far enough to explain why the Globalists intend to bring forth the new world order, why the Globalists exist and where the Globalists intend the global community to be in 50, 100 and 200 years.

It's also an appropriate time to evaluate the standard definition of who are the Globalists as the Globalists have now encroached in almost every country of the world. Up until 30 years ago, the Globalists only had an interest and presence in western countries and a limited number of African and Asian countries.

A New Definition of "Who Are the Globalists"

After much consideration and thought, I would like to propose to the activist, free thinking, and anti-globalist movements that we define the Globalists as:

The Globalists are a conglomerate of individuals, interest groups and large corporations who actively seek to eliminate all countries to create a global border-less society so that they can centralize, reduce and

standardize all economies, production and the processing of natural resources so that they can directly control, manipulate and influence the decisions we make to ensure they maintain their power, control, wealth and historical influence.

This new definition of who are the Globalists takes a broader view of why they exist and why and for what purpose do they seek to implement their Globalist agenda.

As this definition of who are the Globalists is new, I would like to hear what your thoughts are and if you feel we can tweak the definition in any way.

Source

The corporate whore main stream media (yes that's exactly who they are and I don't apologize for using such harsh language) has purposefully not made any mention of the upcoming Bilderberg Group meeting and has in fact deliberately began a systematic attack on the alternative media and Bilderberg truthers for their efforts to report on the Bilderberg Group meeting and its agenda.

It's unbelievable and difficult to comprehend why the main stream media has been so blatant in its lack of coverage of the Bilderberg Group meetings.

Think about it, every year there is an event where most of the top globalists, politicians, bankers and corporate industrialists congregate to "actively" plan and strategize global political, economic and environmental programs behind everyone's back, in secret and without any accountability, yet the main stream media thinks it's not worthy of reporting?

To demonstrate how little coverage there has been of the Bilderberg Group meetings in the main stream media, a simple Google news search for Bilderberg Group revealed only 652 results (most of which aren't news items about the Bilderberg Group, but rather keywords found from comments), Bing News produced 23 results and Yahoo News delivered 13 results.

So let's think about the reporting results of Google, Bing and Yahoo logically.

The top globalists, politicians and business leaders congregate to meet secretly to discuss political, economic and environmental programs, of which the outcomes affect everyone, yet the top 3 search engines could only find 688 results globally?

In comparison to the 1,310,000 results for "one direction" in Google News, I think it's pretty obvious that there is in effect a blackout of Bilderberg Group reporting.

To even further demonstrate the Bilderberg blackout, [Reuters News](#) only published 10 articles about the Bilderberg Group, with the last one being in December 2011.

Considering the lack of Bilderberg Group reporting, when the main stream media does decide to publish Bilderberg Group news, they usually make all efforts to “rosy” the image of the Bilderberg Group and try to dismiss the group as some sort of conspiracy theory.

The ‘[Independent](#)’ recently slammed the Bilderberg Group as some sort of shadowy (conspiracy theory) group while reporting about a conference Google is hosting for its globalist acquaintances.

They were quoted as saying,

“For conspiracy theorists, the conference, staged by the search engine giant, is a cuddlier version of the Bilderberg Group, the supposedly shadowy network of financiers that holds a private annual assembly, recast in the image of our new tech masters”

Fareed Zakaria from [CNN](#), *another globalist and Bilderberg backed institution*, also publicized a story about the Bilderberg Group describing them as,

“a conference like dozens of others around the world”.

Zakaria then went on to say,

“the idea that a finance minister or a banker would say something with a group of 150 people that is any different than what he would say in public is crazy in today’s world where everything leaks instantly”.

I think it’s fair to suggest that Zakaria’s second statement is just ignorant.

- Does Zakaria actually believe the Bilderbergers sit there and talk about matters already discussed in the public domain?
- If this was the case, then why doesn’t the Bilderberg Group publicize its meeting minutes?
- Or even better, why don’t they invite the alternative media to report on the events of the conference?

Also more recently, **Kenneth Vogel** from Politico [wrote an article](#) highlighting the emerging trends in the alternative media around reporting of the Bilderberg Group.

The essence of his article highlights the lack of urgency and willingness of the main stream media to hold to account the dealings and agenda of the Bilderberg Group. Not once during Vogel’s article does he truly question the motive of the Bilderberg Group.

Vogel’s article clearly demonstrates the lack of interest [the main stream media](#) has for the Bilderberg

Group and its agenda.

I think it's time, and I believe you would agree, that we all stop referring to the Bilderberg Group as some sort of *conspiracy theory*, but rather as a real institution who as a collective has a capacity to deliver programs and change on a global scale.

The inherent capacity of the Bilderberg Group to make such decisions is undesirable and *is a threat to the stability and future of the world.*

So where else does the 1% who control the 99% congregate in secrecy and why does the main stream media avoid them like the plague? I think the latter part of the question needs to be explored further and only the alternative media has the guts to do so.

Until the hand that feeds the dog is eliminated, the main stream media will never change.